McClelland Street Design Options Survey

Salt Lake City is currently studying options for redesigning McClelland Street from 2100 South to Sugarmont Drive. Your feedback will help inform the final street design.

All Responses sorted chronologically

As of March 1, 2017, 9:59 AM

Open City Hall is not a certified voting system or ballot box. As with any public comment process, participation in Open City Hall is voluntary. The responses in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

McClelland Street Design Options Survey

Salt Lake City is currently studying options for redesigning McClelland Street from 2100 South to Sugarmont Drive. Your feedback will help inform the final street design.

As of March 1, 2017, 9:59 AM, this forum had:

Attendees:	566
All Responses:	230
Hours of Public Comment:	11.5

This topic started on November 30, 2016, 8:44 AM.

All Responses sorted chronologically

McClelland Street Design Options Survey

Salt Lake City is currently studying options for redesigning McClelland Street from 2100 South to Sugarmont Drive. Your feedback will help inform the final street design.

Responses

To what extent do you agree with the vision and goals for McClelland Street in Sugar House listed above?

	%	Count
Strongly Agree	63.3%	145
Somewhat Agree	26.2%	60
Neither Agree nor Disagree	2.6%	6
Somewhat Disagree	3.9%	9
Strongly Disagree	3.9%	9

Comments:

Answered	57
Skipped	173

- 2100 access all area **areas** **bicycle** **bike** **bikes** **car** cars **changes** e **east** from great into lanes **like** **line** **love** make **mcclelland** more **need** **now** other parking **pedestrian** pedestrians **s** see **seems** south **street** sugarhouse **t** **traffic** **what** which

The following street elements are available to help accomplish the vision and goals for McClelland Street.

Please rank the elements you consider most important to realizing these goals.

Average Priorities

Prioritize walking

Two-way bike path

Native landscaping and vegetation

Wide sidewalks

Slow vehicular traffic

Outdoor dining space

Street Furniture and Amenities

One-way traffic

Angle Parking

Parallel parking

Are there any other elements you would like to see on McClelland Street?

Answered	53
Skipped	177

21st access **also** always art **between** **bicycles** bike cars don **from** **lighting** **make** **mcclelland** **more** one other park **parking** **pedestrian** people **plan** **racks** right s **safety** **signage** **south** street **sugarhouse** t **traffic** trees **vehicle** vehicles **walk** walking way wayfinding **where**

To what extent do you agree that this option meets the vision and goals for McClelland Street?

	%	Count
Strongly Agree	4.4%	10
Somewhat Agree	8.8%	20

	%	Count
Neither Agree nor Disagree	8.4%	19
Somewhat Disagree	32.6%	74
Strongly Disagree	45.8%	104

Which elements of the existing layout are most important to you? Please prioritize them below in order of importance.

Average Priorities

5-foot sidewalks

Two-way street

Bicycles use sidewalk or street

Existing landscaping

Parallel parking on both sides of the street

Comments on Option 1 - Maintain Existing Layout:

Answered	70
Skipped	160

all **bike** bikes **both** **cars** **current** do **does** don **elements** etc existing **lanes** layout like **more** **narrow** needs **one** **only** option **parking** **pedestrian** **pedestrians** people s sides **sidewalks** so space **street** sugarhouse **t** think too **traffic** use **very** **walking** way

To what extent do you agree or disagree that this option meets the vision and goals for McClelland Street?

	%	Count
Strongly Agree	25.3%	58
Somewhat Agree	46.3%	106
Neither Agree nor Disagree	8.3%	19
Somewhat Disagree	11.4%	26
Strongly Disagree	8.7%	20

Comments on Option 2 - Circulation Plan Recommendation:

Answered	66
Skipped	164

also any area **better** bike bikes car **don** east **elm** enough from **issue** **like** **little** make more **much** need **one** **only** **parking** **path** pedestrian **people** plan public s **see** side sidewalk **sidewalks** **so** **spaces** **street** **sugarhouse** **t** **than** traffic way

To what extent do you agree or disagree that this option meets the vision and goals for McClelland Street?

	%	Count
Strongly Agree	31.7%	72
Somewhat Agree	40.1%	91
Neither Agree nor Disagree	7.5%	17
Somewhat Disagree	13.2%	30
Strongly Disagree	7.5%	17

Comments on Option 3 - One Way from 2100 South to Elm Street:

Answered	77
Skipped	153

2 2100 access all also **angled** **area** **better** bike **cars** **elm** **from** good **like** make more **much** **need** **one** **one-way** only option **out** **parking** path **paths** road **s** seems sidewalk **sidewalks** some still street **streets** **sugarmont** than think traffic **way**

To what extent do you agree or disagree that this option meets the vision and goals for McClelland Street?

	%	Count
Strongly Agree	37.7%	86
Somewhat Agree	27.2%	62
Neither Agree nor Disagree	11.0%	25
Somewhat Disagree	14.9%	34
Strongly Disagree	9.2%	21

Comments on Option 4 - Shared Street:

Answered	80
Skipped	150

access all area best bike **cars** design doesn don good how idea like looks make **mcclelland** **more** much **one** **only** **option** out **parking** **pedestrian** **pedestrians** people **plaza** **really** **s** **see** **so** **spaces**

street t they think too traffic use very

Overall, which option do you feel best meets the vision and goals for McClelland Street?

	%	Count
Option 1 - Maintain Existing Configuration	5.8%	13
Option 2 - Circulation Plan Recommendation	20.4%	46
Option 3 - One-way from 2100 South to Elm Street	33.8%	76
Option 4 - Shared Street	40.0%	90

Comments:

Answered	35
Skipped	195

- 2 3 4 all area away best bike both everyone from input into like m make more much need one only option parking pedestrian pedestrians prefer public seems shared so space street t thanks think traffic want way work

All Responses sorted chronologically